

Purdue University

**Purdue e-Pubs**

---

Department of Computer Science Technical  
Reports

Department of Computer Science

---

1976

## Piecewise Cubic Hermite Interpolation at the Gaussian Points

Elias N. Houstis

*Purdue University*, [enh@cs.purdue.edu](mailto:enh@cs.purdue.edu)

T. S. Papatheodorou

Report Number:

76-199

---

Houstis, Elias N. and Papatheodorou, T. S., "Piecewise Cubic Hermite Interpolation at the Gaussian Points" (1976). *Department of Computer Science Technical Reports*. Paper 140.  
<https://docs.lib.purdue.edu/cstech/140>

This document has been made available through Purdue e-Pubs, a service of the Purdue University Libraries.  
Please contact [epubs@purdue.edu](mailto:epubs@purdue.edu) for additional information.

---

PIECEWISE CUBIC HERMITE INTERPOLATION  
AT THE GAUSSIAN POINTS

by

E.N. Houstis  
Dept. of Computer Sciences  
Purdue University  
W. Lafayette, IN 47907

and

T.S. Papatheodorou  
Dept. of Mathematics  
Clarkson College of Tech.  
Potsdam, NY 13676

CSD-TR 199

July 1976

---

PIECEWISE CUBIC HERMITE INTERPOLATION  
AT THE GAUSSIAN POINTS

by

E. N. Houstis  
Dept. of Computer Sciences  
Purdue University  
W. Lafayette, Indiana 47907

and T.S. Papatheodorou  
Dept. of Mathematics  
Clarkson College of Technology  
Potsdam, New York 13676

ABSTRACT

An interpolation scheme based on piecewise cubic polynomials with the Gaussian points as interpolation points is analyzed. Optimal order a priori estimates are obtained for the interpolation error in the maximum norm.

"Piecewise Cubic Hermite Interpolation  
at the Gaussian Points"

by

E.N. Houstis

and

T.S. Papatheodorou

Introduction. We consider an interpolation scheme based on piecewise cubic polynomials with continuous first derivatives and the Gaussian points as interpolation points.

This scheme has been applied as a collocation method by DeBoor and Swartz [2] and Houstis [6] for the numerical solution of ordinary differential equations. Also, Douglas and Dupont [3], [4], [5] and Houstis [7], have studied a collocation method for partial differential equations based on the above scheme.

In sections 1 and 2 we present the formulation for one and two dimensions. In section 3 of this report we obtain optimal order asymptotic estimates for the interpolation error in the  $L_\infty$ -norm.

1. One-dimensional interpolation scheme. Let  $\Delta = (x_i)_{i=1}^{N+1}$  be a partition of  $I = [a, b]$ ,  $h_i = |x_{i+1} - x_i|$ ,  $I_i = [x_i, x_{i+1}]$  and  $h = \max h_i$ . Throughout this report we denote by  $P_3$  the set of polynomials of degree less than 4, and  $P_{3,\Delta}$  the set of functions that reduce to polynomials of degree less than 4 in each subinterval  $[x_i, x_{i+1}]$ . Also we denote by  $H_\Delta$  the  $(2N+2)$ -dimensional vector space of all continuously differentiable piecewise cubic polynomials with respect to  $\Delta$ . We take  $-1 < \rho_1, \rho_2 < 1$  and  $w_j > 0, j=1, 2$  to be the

Gaussian points and weights respectively, so that

$$\int_{-1}^{+1} p(x) dx = \sum_{i=1}^2 p(\rho_i) w_i, \quad p \in P_3([-1, 1]).$$

The Gaussian points and weights in the subinterval  $[x_j, x_{j+1}]$  are

$$(1.1) \quad \xi_{2j+i} = \frac{x_j + x_{j+1}}{2} + \rho_i \frac{h_j}{2} \quad i = 1, 2.$$

We introduce an interpolation operator

$$Q_N : C^1(I) \rightarrow H_\Delta$$

such that

$$(1.2) \quad (Q_N f)(\sigma_\ell) = f(\sigma_\ell), \quad \ell = 1, \dots, 2N+2,$$

where  $\sigma_1 = a$ ,  $\sigma_\ell = \xi_{2j+i}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, N$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ ,  $\sigma_{2N+2} = b$ .

This interpolation scheme is well defined. In fact, if  $h(x) \in H_\Delta$  also interpolates  $f$  as above, then  $e(x) \equiv Q_N f(x) - h(x)$  is a cubic polynomial on  $[x_i, x_{i+1}]$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq N$  and  $e(\sigma_i) = 0$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 2N+2$ . We show that  $e(x)$  is identically zero in  $[x_i, x_{i+1}]$ . If this is not so, then without loss of generality we may assume that  $e(x) \neq 0$  for all  $x \in [x_1, x_2]$ . Rolle's Theorem implies that  $e(x_2) D_x e(x_2) > 0$ . Similarly,  $D_x e$  restricted in  $[x_2, x_3]$  has roots in  $(x_2, \sigma_4)$ ,  $(\sigma_4, \sigma_5)$ . Thus,  $e(x_3) D_x e(x_3) > 0$ . By induction

$e(x_{N+1})D_x e(x_{N+1}) > 0$  contradicting the relation  $e(x_{N+1}) = 0$ . This proves that  $e(x) \equiv 0$  in  $I$ .

2. Two-dimensional interpolation scheme. In this section we introduce a two-dimensional analogue of the interpolation scheme of the previous section. Let  $\Delta_Y = (y_j)_{j=1}^{M+1}$  be a partition of  $[c, d]$ ,  $J \in [c, d]$ ,  $k_j \equiv |y_{j+1} - y_j|$ ,  $J_j \equiv [y_j, y_{j+1}]$  and  $k \equiv \max k_j$ . Also, we denote by  $\rho \equiv \Delta x \Delta y$  a partition of  $[a, b] \times [c, d]$  and by  $H_\rho$  the vector space of all piecewise bicubic polynomials  $p(x, y)$  with respect to  $\rho$ , such that  $D_x^\ell D_y^\eta p(x, y)$  is continuous on  $[a, b] \times [c, d]$  for all  $0 \leq \ell, \eta \leq 1$ .

The Gaussian points and weights in the subinterval  $[y_i, y_{i+1}]$  are

$$\tau_{2i+j} \equiv \frac{y_i + y_{i+1}}{2} + \rho_j \frac{k_i}{2}, \quad j=1, 2.$$

A two-dimensional interpolation operator is defined as the tensor product

$$Q_\rho \equiv Q_N \otimes Q_M = Q_N Q_M$$

3. Error analysis. In this section, we establish a priori bounds for the interpolation scheme introduced in section 2. For later use, we define the Gramian matrix

$$G_N \equiv (B_i(\sigma_j) ; i, j=1, \dots, 2N+2)$$

of the interpolation operator  $Q_N$ . Using the  $(2N+2) \times (2N+2)$  matrix

$$H_N \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ & h & & & \\ & & \ddots & & 0 \\ & & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & & 1 \\ & & & & & h \end{bmatrix}$$

we find that

$$H_N^{-1} G_N \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & & & & & & \\ 0 & A & & & & & & & \\ & B & A & & & & & & 0 \\ & & & \ddots & & & & & \\ & & & & \ddots & & & & \\ & & 0 & & & B & A & & \\ & & & & & & B & A & \\ & & & & & & & 1 & \\ & & & & & & & B & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$A \equiv \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{bmatrix} \quad , \quad B \equiv \begin{bmatrix} \beta & \alpha \\ -\delta & -\gamma \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\alpha = \frac{9+4\sqrt{3}}{18} \quad , \quad \beta = \frac{9-4\sqrt{3}}{18} \quad , \quad \gamma = \frac{3+\sqrt{3}}{36} \quad , \quad \delta = \frac{3-\sqrt{3}}{36}$$

We will also use the matrix

$$T \equiv BA^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} -7 & 48 \\ 1 & -7 \end{bmatrix}$$

It is easy to see that for all integers  $n$ ,  $(T^n \equiv I)$ ,

$$T_n = \begin{bmatrix} a_n & 48c_n \\ c_n & a_n \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$a_{n+1} = -7a_n + 48c_n, \quad c_{n+1} = a_n - 7c_n.$$

More generally, from  $T^{s+t} = T^s T^t$  we get

$$a_{s+t} = a_s a_t + 48c_s c_t, \quad c_{s+t} = c_s a_t + a_s c_t$$

$$\begin{aligned} (3.1) \quad c_s a_t &= \frac{1}{2} (c_{s+t} + c_{s-t}) \\ c_s c_t &= \frac{1}{96} (a_{s+t} - a_{s-t}) \\ a_s a_t &= \frac{1}{2} (a_{s+t} + a_{s-t}) \\ a_{-l} &= a_l, \quad c_{-l} = -c_l. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\lambda_n \equiv |a_n/c_n| = -a_n/c_n$ . Since  $\det(T^n) = 1$ , we can easily show that  $\lambda_n$  is decreasing with  $n$  and for all  $n$

$$\sqrt{48} < \lambda_n \leq 7, \quad \lambda_1 = 7$$

$$(3.2) \quad c_n = (-1)^{n+1} |c_n|, \quad a_n = (-1)^n |a_n|$$

$$|a_{n+1}| > |a_n|, \quad |c_{n+1}| > |c_n|$$



Since

$$|a_n| = \frac{1}{2} (|c_{n+1}| - |c_{n-1}|), |c_n| = \frac{1}{96} (|a_{n+1}| - |a_n|)$$

we also have

$$(3.3) \quad \sum_{\ell=q}^p |a_\ell| = \frac{1}{2} (|c_{p+1}| + |c_p| - |c_q| - |c_{q-1}|)$$

$$\sum_{\ell=q}^p |c_\ell| = \frac{1}{96} (|a_{p+1}| + |a_p| - |a_q| - |a_{q-1}|)$$

We introduce a  $(2N+2) \times (2N+2)$  matrix  $R$  in partition form

$r_{11}$	$r_{12}$	$\cdot \cdot \cdot$	$r_{1,2N+1}$	$r_{1,2N+2}$
$R_{11}$		$\cdot \cdot \cdot$	$R_{1,N+1}$	
$\vdots$			$\vdots$	
$R_{N,1}$		$\cdot \cdot \cdot$	$R_{N,N+1}$	
$r_{2N+2,1}$	$r_{2N+2,2}$	$\cdot \cdot \cdot$	$r_{2N+2,2N+1}$	$r_{2N+2,2N+2}$

where the first and last rows are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} [r_{1,2j-1}, r_{1,2j}] &\equiv \frac{(-1)^{j+1}}{c_N} [c_{N-j+1} \ a_{N-j+1}] \\ [r_{2N+2,2j-1}, r_{2N+2,2j}] &\equiv \frac{(-1)^{N-j}}{c_N} [-c_{j-1} \ a_{j-1}] \end{aligned} \quad j=1, \dots, N+1$$

while the  $2 \times 2$  matrices  $R_{n,m}$  are defined as

$$R_{n,m} \equiv A^{-1} [(-T)^{n-1} z_m + \sigma_{n,m} (-T)^{n-m}], \quad \begin{matrix} n=1, \dots, N \\ m=1, \dots, N+1 \end{matrix}$$

with

$$z_1 \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_N \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad z_m \equiv \frac{(-1)^m}{c_N} \begin{bmatrix} c_{N-m+1} & a_{N-m+1} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad m=2, \dots, N+1$$

and

$$\sigma_{n,m} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 2 \leq m \leq n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Lemma 3.1. The matrix  $H_N^{-1} G_N$  is invertible and its inverse is the matrix  $R$ .

Proof: Let  $S \in R(H_N^{-1} G_N)$ . It is enough to show that  $S=I$ . We partition  $S$  into blocks:

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} s_{11} & \tau_{11} & \cdots & \tau_{1N} & s_{1,2N+2} \\ \omega_{11} & S_{11} & \cdots & S_{1N} & \omega_{1,2N+2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ \omega_{N1} & S_{N1} & & S_{NN} & \omega_{N,2N+2} \\ s_{2N+2,1} & \tau_{2N+2,1} & \cdots & \tau_{2N+2,N} & s_{2N+2,2N+2} \end{bmatrix}$$

where each  $s_{ij}$  is  $1 \times 1$ ,  $S_{ij}$  is  $2 \times 2$ ,  $\omega_{ij}$  is  $2 \times 1$  and  $\tau_{ij}$  is  $1 \times 2$ .

Performing the multiplication of the matrices  $R$  and  $H_N^{-1} G_N$  we obtain

$$s_{11} = r_{11} = 1$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{ij} = [s_{1,2j} \quad s_{1,2j+1}] &= [r_{1,2j-1} \quad r_{1,2j}] A + [r_{1,2j-1} \quad r_{1,2j+2}] B \\ &= \frac{(-1)^j}{c_N} \{ [c_{N-j+1} \quad a_{N-j+1}] - [c_{N-j} \quad a_{N-j}]^T \} A \\ &= \frac{(-1)^j}{c_N} \{ [c_{N-j+1} \quad a_{N-j+1}] - [c_{N-j+1} \quad a_{N-j+1}] \} A \\ &= [0, 0] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$s_{1,2N+2} = r_{1,2N+1} = 0.$$

Similarly

$$\omega_{i,1} = \omega_{i,2N+2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \tau_{2N+2,j} = [0 \ 0], \quad i, j = 1, \dots, N$$

and

$$s_{2N+2,1} = 0, \quad s_{2N+2,2N+2} = 1.$$

For the square blocks  $S_{n,m}$  we find

$$\begin{aligned} S_{n,m} &= R_{n,m} A + R_{n,m+1} B \\ &= A^{-1} (-T)^{n-1} \{ Z_m + Z_{m+1} T + (\sigma_{n,m} - \sigma_{n,m+1}) (-T)^{1-m} \} A \end{aligned}$$

From the definition of  $Z_m$  and  $T$  we obtain  $Z_m + Z_{m+1} T = \delta_1^m I$ .

Then from the definition of  $\sigma_{n,m}$  we get

$$S_{n,m} = \delta_n^m I.$$

This concludes the proof of Lemma 3.1.

Lemma 3.2. If  $G_N$  is the Grammian of the interpolation operator  $Q_N$  then

$$(3.4) \quad \| (H_N^{-1} G_N)^{-1} \|_{\infty} < 100$$

for all  $N \geq 2$ .

Proof. Let

$$\|R\|_{\ell} \equiv \sum_{m=1}^{2N+2} |r_{\ell m}|.$$

From the definition of R and relations (3.1), (3.2), (3.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|R\|_1 &\equiv \sum_{j=1}^N (|r_{1,2j+1}| + |r_{1,2j}|) \\
 &= \frac{1}{|c_N|} \sum_{j=1}^N (|c_{N-j+1}| + |a_{N-j+1}|) \\
 &= \frac{1}{|c_N|} \sum_{\ell=1}^N (|c_\ell| + |a_\ell|) \\
 &\leq \frac{7}{12} \frac{|a_N|}{|c_N|} + \frac{9}{2} + \frac{5}{15} \frac{|a_1|}{|c_N|} - \frac{7}{2} \frac{|c_1|}{|c_N|} \\
 &\leq 23/2 .
 \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that  $\|R\|_{2N+2} = \|R\|_1$ . For the remaining rows we use (3.1) (3.2) to get that for  $2 \leq m \leq n$

$$AR_{n,m} = (-T)^{n-1} z_m + \sigma_{n,m} (-T)^{n-m}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2|c_N|} \begin{bmatrix} -|c_{N-n-m+2}| + |c_{N-n+m}| & |a_{N-n-m+2}| + |a_{N-n+m}| \\ \frac{1}{48}(-|a_{N-n-m+2}| + |a_{N-n+m}|) & |c_{N-n-m+2}| + |c_{N-n+m}| \end{bmatrix}$$

while for  $n < m$

$$AR_{n,m} = \frac{1}{2|c_N|} \begin{bmatrix} -|c_{N+n-m}| + |c_{N-n-m+2}| & |a_{N+n-m}| + |a_{N-n-m+2}| \\ \frac{1}{48} (|a_{N+n-m}| - |a_{N-n-m+2}|) & |c_{N+n-m}| - |c_{N-n-m+2}| \end{bmatrix}$$

Finally, for  $m=1$

$$AR_{n,1} = \frac{1}{|c_N|} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & |a_{N-n+1}| \\ 0 & |c_{N-n+1}| \end{bmatrix}$$

Using again the relations (3.1) through (3.3) we now find

$$\sum_{m=1}^N \|AR_{n,m}\|_1 \leq$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \left[ 2 \frac{|a_{N-n+1}|}{|c_N|} + \frac{1}{96} \left( \frac{|a_{N-n+1}|}{|c_N|} + \frac{|a_{N-n}|}{|c_N|} + \frac{|a_N|}{|c_N|} + \frac{|a_{N-1}|}{|c_N|} \right) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{|c_{N-n}|}{|c_N|} + 9 + \frac{|c_{N-1}|}{|c_N|} + \frac{|a_N|}{|c_N|} \right) \right] \leq \frac{35}{3}$$

and

$$\sum_{m=1}^N ||AR_{n,m}||_2 \leq$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \left[ 2 \frac{|c_{N-n+1}|}{|c_N|} + \frac{1}{96} \left( \frac{|a_{N-n}|}{|c_N|} + 9 \frac{|a_N|}{|c_N|} + 48 + \frac{|c_{N-n+1}|}{|c_N|} \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + \frac{|c_{N-n}|}{|c_N|} + \frac{|a_{N-1}|}{|c_N|} + \frac{|a_n|}{|c_N|} + \frac{|c_{N-1}|}{|c_N|} \right) \right] \leq 2$$

By definition now, we have for  $\ell=1,2$

$$||R||_{2n+\ell} = \sum_{m=1}^N ||A^{-1}AR_{n,m}||_{\ell} \leq \sum_{m=1}^N ||A^{-1}||_{\infty} ||AR_{n,m}||_{\ell}$$

while

$$||A^{-1}||_{\infty} = \frac{7\sqrt{3}+9}{4}$$

Thus, for the norm  $||R||_{\infty} = \max_i ||R||_i$  the following bound holds

$$||R||_{\infty} = ||(H^{-1}G_N)^{-1}||_{\infty} < 100.$$

This concludes the proof of Lemma 3.2.

Remark. As the proof of Lemma 3.2 suggests the bound (3.4) can be improved. Our conjecture is that a more careful analysis will show that the norm  $|| (H_N^{-1} G_N)^{-1} ||_\infty$  is decreasing in  $N$ , that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} || (H_N^{-1} G_N)^{-1} ||_\infty = \frac{69-29\sqrt{3}}{2}$$

and that for all  $N \geq 2$

$$\frac{69-29\sqrt{3}}{2} \leq || (H_N^{-1} G_N)^{-1} ||_\infty \leq || (H_2^{-1} G_2)^{-1} ||_\infty = \frac{33\sqrt{3}+9}{7}$$

Numerical experiments confirm this conjecture.

Lemma 3.3. The Gramian matrix  $G_N$  of the interpolation operator  $Q_N$  is nonsingular and

$$(3.5) \quad || G_N^{-1} ||_\infty \leq 100 N$$

for all  $N \geq 2$ .

Proof. (3.5) follows easily from Lemmata 3.1 and 3.2.

Lemma 3.4. Let  $Q_N$  be the interpolation operator defined by (2.2). Then, (i)  $Q_N$  is a linear projector on  $C^1(I)$  with range  $H_\Delta$  and (ii) there exists a constant  $c$  such that  $|| Q_N || \leq c N$ .



Proof. Conclusion (i) follows easily from Lemma 3.3. To prove (ii) let  $\Lambda$  be the dual space of  $H_\Delta$  and  $\{B_i\}_{i=1}^{2N+2}$  and  $\{\delta_{\sigma_i}\}_{i=1}^{2N+2}$  be bases for  $H_\Delta$  and  $\Lambda$ , where  $\delta_{\sigma_i}$  are the point evaluation

functionals. Using [1, Prop. 3] one may easily show that

$$\|Q_\Delta\| \leq \max_{a \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\|\sum_i a_i B_i\|_\infty}{\|a\|} \|(\delta_{\sigma_i} B_j)^{-1}\|_\infty \max_i \|\delta_{\sigma_i}\|$$

$$\leq 2 \|G_N^{-1}\|_\infty \leq cN$$

where,  $G_N = (\delta_{\sigma_i} B_j)$  and, by (3.5),  $c = 200$ . This concludes the proof of Lemma 3.4.

Theorem 3.1. If  $f \in W^{4,\infty}(I)$ , then

(i)  $Q_N f \rightarrow f$ , as  $N \rightarrow \infty$

and

(ii) for the interpolation error we have

$$\|Q_N f - f\|_\infty \leq ch^4$$

where  $c$  is independent of  $h$ .

Proof. Let  $\partial_H f$  be the Hermite interpolant of  $f$ , defined by interpolation of  $f$  and its first derivative at the nodes of the partition  $\Lambda$ . From the triangle inequality we find

$$(3.6) \quad \|f - Q_N f\|_\infty \leq (1 + \|Q_N\|) \|f - \partial_H f\|_\infty.$$

Moreover, for the Hermite interpolation error, it is known [10, Thrm 3.6]

$$(3.7) \quad ||f - \partial_H f||_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{384} h^4 ||D^4 f||_{\infty}.$$

From (3.6), (3.7) and Lemma 3.4, we now get

$$||f - Q_N f||_{\infty} = O(h^3).$$

This proves conclusion (i).

Also, Theorem 2 [9, p. 251] and conclusion (i) imply that there is a constant  $K$ , independent of  $N$ , such that

$$(3.8) \quad ||Q_N|| \leq K \quad N=2,3,\dots$$

From (3.6) and (3.8) conclusion (ii) follows.

Theorem 3.2. If  $f \in W^{4,\infty}(I \times J)$  then for the interpolation error we have

$$||Q_{\rho} f - f||_{\infty} \leq c |\rho|^4$$

where  $|\rho| = \max(h, k)$  and  $c$  is a constant independent of  $h$  and  $k$ .

Proof. From (3.6)-(3.8) and the triangle inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} ||f - Q_{\rho} f||_{\infty} &\leq ||f - Q_N f||_{\infty} + ||Q_N f - Q_N Q_M f||_{\infty} \\ &\leq ||f - Q_N f||_{\infty} + ||Q_N|| ||f - Q_M f||_{\infty} \\ &\leq c(h^4 + k^4) \leq c|\rho|^4 \end{aligned}$$

which concludes the proof of the theorem.

4. Numerical results. In this section we present some numerical results concerning the approximation of the functions  $e^x, x^4$  by interpolation at Gaussian points with the space  $H_\Delta$ . These results indicate that the interpolation scheme introduced at Section 2 is fourth-order accurate in the  $L_\infty$ -norm. The partition  $\Delta$  used is uniform with mesh length  $h = 1/N$ . The rate of convergence estimate

$$-\log \left( \frac{\text{error for } h}{\text{error for } h/2} \right) / \log 2$$

is also given.

N	$\ e^x - Q_N e^x\ _\infty$	Convergence Rate
3	$3.106 \times 10^{-5}$	
6	$2.325 \times 10^{-6}$	3.74
12	$1.646 \times 10^{-7}$	3.8
24	$1.096 \times 10^{-8}$	3.9
48	$7.070 \times 10^{-10}$	3.95

N	$\ x^4 - Q_N x^4\ _\infty$	Convergence Rate
3	$4.155 \times 10^{-4}$	
6	$2.678 \times 10^{-5}$	3.96
12	$1.674 \times 10^{-6}$	3.99
24	$1.047 \times 10^{-7}$	4.00
48	$6.541 \times 10^{-9}$	4.00

# REFERENCES

1. Carl DeBoor, Bounding the error in spline interpolation, SIAM review, 10 (1974), pp. 531-544.
2. C. DeBoor and B. Swartz, Collocation at Gaussian points, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 10 (1973), pp. 582-606.
3. Jim Douglas, Jr. and Todd Dupont, A finite element collocation method for quasilinear Parabolic Equations, Math. Comp., 27 (1973), pp. 212.
4. Jim Douglas, Jr. and Todd Dupont, A super convergence result for the approximate solution of the heat equation by a collocation method, in Mathematical Foundations of Finite Element Method with Applications to Partial Differential Equations, A. K. Aziz, Editor, Academic Press, New York, 1972.
5. Jim Douglas, Jr. and Todd Dupont, Collocation methods for parabolic equations in a single space variable based on  $C^1$ -piecewise polynomial spaces, Springer Lecture Note Series, Vol. 385, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1974.
6. E. N. Houstis, A collocation method for systems of nonlinear ordinary differential equations, to be published in the Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications.
7. E. N. Houstis, Application of method of collocation on lines for solving nonlinear hyperbolic problems, to be published in the Journal of Mathematics of Computation.
8. M. A. Krasnosel'skii, G. M. Vainikko, P. P. Zabreiko, Yu. B. Rutitskii, V. Ya Stetsenko, Approximate solution of operator equations, Wolters-Noordhoff, 1969.
9. L. V. Kantorovich and G. P. Akilov, Functional analysis in normed spaces, Pergamon Press, 1969. (English translation).
10. M. H. Schultz, Spline Analysis, Prentice-Hall, 1973.